A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



NEWS HIGHLIGHTS

- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

Print Post Publication Number 100000815 The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance —

22nd May, 2015

Vol. 51. No. 20.

IN THIS ISSUE

Ministry Of Misinformation	2
Eric D. Butler Was Right All Along By Betty Luks	2
A Conspiracy Theorist's Smoke- bomb? From Jonova's Website	
The Myth Of Scientific Peer Reviews By Brian Simpson	4
The Rottenness Of The Universities By James Reed	4
Trust The Government On Vaccines? Never! By Mrs. Vera West	4
Mexico Suspends Infant Vaccines After Two Babies Die And 29 Fall Ill	5
Derailing The Recognition Referendum By Ian Wilson LL.B.	5
Multiculturalism: A Moral Duty? By Patrick Morrison	5
Turning On The Wheel Of Health: Exposing The Diet Lies By Chris Knight	6
Terminator: The March Of The Killer Robots By James Reed And John Steele	6
Uncle Len's Amazing Downtime By Len The Reader	6
800th Magna Carta Anniversary Coming Up By Betty Luks	7
Letters To The Editor	8

Thought for the Week

Power must be progressively decentralised into the hands of individuals and made subordinate to the Authority of the higher Spiritual Law. Man's institutions, political, economic, financial, constitutional, social, must be so arranged that they serve the true purpose of man, freedom and personal responsibility for that freedom. Man has reached a major crossroad in the road of history. Christian leadership is a vital necessity for a right decision concerning which direction to take. That leadership must be based upon the truth that he who would be the greatest must be the servant of his fellows.

ED Butler "Essential Christian Heritage" http://alor.org/Library/Butler%20ED%20-%20Essential%20Christian%20Heritage.htm

Can Social Credit Policies Help?

Domestic violence: Victorian Government launches family violence index to track scale of crisis By Stephanie Anderson, ABC, 17th May 2015

The Victorian Government has launched a world-first family violence index to better understand the scale of the crisis. Family violence is the leading cause of death or disability in women under the age of 45 in Australia. Minister for the Prevention of Family Violence, Fiona Richardson, said one woman a week was killed by her partner last year. This year, it is tracking as two every week. "We know we have a crisis on our hands, but what we don't know is the full extent of the harm caused by family violence," the Minister said. "So take the crime statistics for example: they've been a good indicator over time. But we know that family violence is a massively under-reported crime".

Social Credit Economics and Social Problems

Since many of the social problems with which we are faced: poverty, drug and alcohol abuse, child abuse, physical illnesses, mental illnesses, vandalism, illiteracy, delinquency, elder abuse and neglect, etc., are either caused or exacerbated by the dysfunctional financial system, its elimination and replacement with a well-designed and properly ordered financial system will, *ipso facto*, eliminate or at least attenuate most of our social ills. Beyond that, Social Credit will also make new financial and hence economic resources available to help address any residual problems that require more active and coordinated efforts if they are to be successfully resolved. In a Social Credit society, it should become possible to deal with social problems in the most effective and efficient way possible, i.e., by addressing their root causes in the most direct manner.

Children would be born into credit, instead of being born into debt. Whoever is genuinely 'pro-family' should also be 'pro-Social Credit' as Social Credit would render it far easier for couples and their children to live up to the high ideals of family life.

From '*The Positive Effects of Social Credit Economics*' by M. Oliver Heydorn, page 463.



MINISTRY OF MISINFORMATION

The following series of articles deal with the theme of the "ministry of misinformation", half-truths or outright deception used by the Establishment to disguise a hidden agenda, or what we used to call in the days of Eric Butler, a "conspiracy".

Consider, for example the shock! horror! generated in segments of the media by Maurice Newman's claim that the United Nations' "real agenda is a new world order under its control" ("The United Nations is Using Climate Change as a Tool Not an Issue", *The Australian*, May 8, 2015, p.14.) No, this was not a League of Rights' spokesman here but the chairman of the Prime Minister's Business Advisory Council. No left wing ideologue here, but Establishment and Big Business.

On the same day as Mr Newman's revelations, an article appeared on page 2 of the same edition of *The Australian* stating that there are now record carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere. The

much-feared 400ppm has been reached, according to the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. If the global warming hypothesis was correct then one would expect a substantial rise in the global average temperature, which has not occurred due to the so-called "pause" or "hiatus" in temperature rises. Mr Newman cuts through all of this scientific teeth chattering and says it straight: "the real agenda is concentrated political authority. Global warming is the hook". He quotes from Christiana Figueres, executive secretary of the UN's Framework on Climate Change who said, as Mr Newman paraphrases, that "democracy is a poor political system for fighting global warming. Communist China, she says, is the best model". Forget about science, logic, and debate: "It's about a new world order under the control of the UN".

The ministry of misinformation is well served here, as the eco-catastrophists "have captured the UN and are extremely

well funded. They have a hugely powerful ally in the White House. They have successfully enlisted compliant academics and an obedient and gullible mainstream media (the ABC and Fairfax in Australia) to push the scriptures regardless of evidence". Of course. Mr Newman as a representative of Big Business may have a different opinion on matters equally as ideologically problematic as climate change such as mass immigration and multiculturalism which parallel everything which he has said about the climate change conspiracy. You will not find the critique made at this site in any mainstream media source on immigration, multiculturalism, the freespeech issue, and other topics of concern, which challenge both the Big Business Right and the politically correct Left. The aim of the articles to follow is to further confront the "ministry of misinformation" in the hope that the truth will out.

ERIC D. BUTLER WAS RIGHT ALL ALONG by Betty Luks

Just read Joanne Nova's article about Maurice Newman's remark on the New World Order - thought it worthwhile to reprint below. But then searched our own archives and thought the following worth reprinting. Bear in mind our farmers are still being forced off their lands, farms, by the banks! How many old timers within the ranks of the League supporters remember all the footslogging they did in order to distribute the *"Electors' Voice"*?

Joanne Nova's article brings back other memories - like the fact that the Australian League of Rights, or Eric D. Butler or Jeremy Lee were never mentioned in the mainstream media without an accompanying pejorative such as 'nazi'; 'fascist'; 'anti-semitic', etc. The intention being to discredit what was written by the writer.

Is 35 Years Ago Long Enough? League Hits the Target: Writing in *The Australian* of December 28th, (1978) Rural Writer Alan Goodall states that while Australian farm leaders (these not mentioned by name) reject the League of Rights' warnings concerning the New International Economic Order proposal to establish international marketing boards, they "were annoyed that the National Farmers Federation, due to unite 200,000 farmers on Monday and now facing delays, might be set back further by the League's propaganda." The nation wide distribution of the special issue of "Electors' Voice" has already had a major impact, not only in the rural community but also in urban communities. Surveys indicate that the full impact of "Electors' Voice" has not yet been achieved, one reason being that farmers generally have been so busy with seasonal activities that they have not yet had the opportunity of grasping the farreaching implications of the New International Economic Order proposals. Distribution of "Electors' Voice" must be intensified. Those who have already participated in the distribution campaign can now see that they have struck a major blow for the future of a Free Australia. While the League of Rights' anti-N.I.E.O. campaign was causing growing concern in Australia, Prime Minister Fraser and Foreign Minister Peacock left for Jamaica and the U.S.A. to attempt to further the grand design for the Brave New World they envisage. But they are finding there are problems, one being that the Carter Administration, under heavy pressure from American rural lobbies, is not being as cooperative as Mr. Fraser had hoped. However, he did meet with the leading One Worlders before leaving Washington. He had talks with World Bank President, Mr. Robert McNamara, one of the power

men who bluntly states that the New World Order can only be built by shifting resources from the wealthier nations to the poorer ones. The poorer nations include Communist nations like Vietnam. The World Bank makes credits available for the purpose of shifting resources. Mr. Fraser also attended a private luncheon given by Mr. David Rockefeller, President of the Chase Manhattan Bank, and addressed the Economic Club of New York. Mr. Fraser again took up the theme of the New International Economic Order. One press report states that in talks with the Jamaican Prime Minister Mr. Manley, before going to Washington, Mr. Fraser stressed the need for "all efforts to be made to set up a common fund to stabilise world commodity prices. Progress toward this has been moving slowly, with negotiations among the developed and developing nations, deferred until early next year." Our confident view is that as the exposure of the real meaning of the New International Economic Order becomes more widespread, progress towards the agreements sought by Prime Minister Fraser and Mr. Peacock, will become more difficult to obtain.

Comment: And the farmers still believe the LNP fights for their best interests!

Ref: http://www.alor.org/Volume15/Vol15No1.htm

A CONSPIRACY THEORIST'S SMOKEBOMB?

From JoNova's website—9 May 2015.

Maurice Newman triggers the rabid "Conspiracy Theorist" smoke bomb. Is he teasing the hypocrites? Yesterday Maurice Newman dared suggest that the real change agenda was "concentrated political authority". I watched his article on *The Australian* get quickly repeated through the SMH and many other outlets, which wouldn't always happen. I counted down the hours until Newman was called a "conspiracy theorist" about 18.

I expect Maurice Newman knew exactly what game he was playing today. Like tapping a knee to trigger a reflex, the words "World Government" always provokes outraged mockery and name calling as if it were against the laws of physics rather than being the banal, obvious desire of a certain part of the population. There's a reason there's no hit song called "Nobody wants to rule the world"....

Was Newman baiting the gullible fans of a man-made catastrophe in order to get his message spread far and wide? If he was, it was successful. Now it's up to us to pick up the ball and point out that hypocrisy of the sacred taboo — only a certain class are allowed to discuss "world-government" (that's the class who like the idea).

If you fantasize of a Global Democracy or an Earth Parliament you are a Saint of the Poor. Let us cheer your insight! But if you aren't sold on the biggest of biggovernment ambitions, namely a global bureaucracy or A Single World Government, you are a rabid conspiracy theorist – park your brain in the cone of silence, while we laugh at you! If Maurice Newman has talked of global bureaucracy or an earth democracy his article might have been ignored. His words would not have been repeated all over the lamestream media, but left leaning journalists and editors salivate over the chance to mock someone they love to hate. But by using this obvious bait, he reaches more readers and gives rational people the chance to talk about important things like the dangers of biggovernment, and the lack of polite debate. There is no intelligent discussion of the risks and benefits of One Giant State. There is only inane name-calling "conspiracy theorist". Those who throw it, hope to hide that they have no argument, only abusive weak namecalling.

"Conspiracy theorist" is the mindless term used to beat down a rational discussion.

It's a kind of hyper-hypocrisy and nobody bothers to hide it. When Christina Figueres, executive secretary of the UNFCCC, (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) says she wants to transform the global economy, or praises Chinese dictators, she's cheered as a visionary. When Bob Brown dreams of a global democracy and parliament, he gets a standing ovation. When Maurice Newman talks about the same thing, people say he should be sacked. When anyone points out the ambitious, self-serving goals of personal power, it's time to break out the "conspiracytheorist" smoke machine, lest anyone start to talk about the dark side of the mini "World Government" called the UN, and that turns the public off the idea of a bigger darker version. We've discussed this issue many times here. David Evans mapped out the theme and made the connections years ago, in Climate Coup — The Politics. The greatest loss of sovereignty in the history of the planet almost occurred in 2009 at Copenhagen, where most of the countries of the world planned to cede control over energy and parts of their economy to a global bureaucracy. In the event the Chinese balked and others followed. We knew then that anyone who uses the term "world government" would get called a conspiracy theorist:

The Trademark Tactics of the Regulating Class

If you oppose the regulating class, you will get called an "extremist", a "nut", a "conspiracy theorist", "right wing", and every variation of "stupid" and "ignorant", irrespective of the merits of what you say.

There is no secret "conspiracy"...There is just the bleedingly obvious systematic problem that big-government players have an incentive to make government bigger and competition smaller.

As Evans said: Global Warming: What's At Stake for You

If you are an economic member of the regulating class, a global bureaucracy instigated by the alleged need to regulate CO2 emissions would be terrific: more jobs, power, and money for bureaucrats and their allies. You would be part of what would effectively become a ruling class, free to tax a captive population whatever they could bear and pay yourselves whatever you "know" you're worth.

For everyone else, what's at stake is freedom from the demands of a hostile ruling class, as well as more disposable income, more choice, less red tape, and a better quality of life. The new regulating class-bureaucrats, academics, and greenies-look down on others as stupid and morally inferior, they don't like people who make real stuff, and they don't like the private sector or the marketplace. They would be happy for everyone else to compete in the marketplace to make them stuff, but they themselves won't have to compete. Their regulations would be global so there would be no escape, and competition between nations vying for our services and taxes would shrivel. Maurice Newman is flying direct into the Flak. Bravo. Let's not shy away, but head straight in to discuss the way they use name calling, insults, and denigration to silence a debate they really don't want to have. (Predictably, on the ABC site The Drum free speech is vital but only when the topic is "allowable". Don't hold your breath waiting for the ABC to discuss the dangers of silencing skeptical professors, Nobel Prize winners, and half the citizens of Australia.)

Ref: http://joannenova.com.au/2015/05/maurice-newman-triggers-the-rabid-conspiracy-theorist-smoke-bomb-is-he-teasing-the-hypocrites/

http://joannenova.com.au/2015/05/maurice-newman-you-are-not-allowed-to-say-words-like-order-world-and-new/

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

The Myth of Scientific Peer Reviews by Brian Simpson

We are told constantly by the Establishment to trust scientists on matters such as climate change and vaccinations because science is objective. The objectivity of science is allegedly achieved by peer review, the so-called "gold standard of quality scientific research".

However, Dr Richard Norton, editor of a leading British medical journal *The Lancet* said in a recent editorial "much of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue" due to "studies with small sample sizes, researchers' conflicts of interest" and "an obsession" among scientists for pursuing fashionable trends of dubious importance". Dr Norton wants reform of the peer review process.

Dr Richard Smith, former editor of the British Medical Journal however saw peer review as a "sacred cow" that deserved to be slaughtered. Peer review in his opinion was not a good error detection method and "most of what is published in journals is just plain wrong or nonsense". Peer review was also a slow process, and expensive, further, during his time as editor of the British Medical Journal a paper having eight deliberate errors was sent to 300 reviewers. No reviewer found more than five and 20% detected none at all. The median error detection was two. Dr Smith concluded thus: "If peer review was a drug it would never get on the market because we have lots of evidence of its adverse effects and don't have

evidence of its benefits".

Peer review also tended to prevent innovative papers being published. Dr Smith favoured publishing papers and allowing the scientific community to assess them.

Such criticisms are a step in the right direction, but still the issue remains of the conservatism of mainstream scientific journals which tend not to publish criticisms of established paradigms. There needs to be journals devoted to "conjectures and refutations", falsification as Sir Karl Popper conceived of it allowing the establishment ideologies to be challenged.

The Rottenness of the Universities by James Reed

There has been debate in the media recently about the appointment of climate change critic Bjorn Lomborg to lead a policy centre at the University of Western Australia. Critics of Lomborg allege that he has a poor "h-index", a measure of the number of peer-reviewed academic articles. The index is a sacred cow to academics, but is very limited, being based towards scientific publications. Media, newspaper, and book presentations, so essential to public policy debates, are not considered. Hence, it is an academic "snob index". Interestingly enough, Julia Gillard, who has published little academic work, was given a professorship without the same level of controversy as Lomborg. Lomborg, you see, is a private enterprise thinker whose ideals of optimism and economic progress deeply offend the pessimistic rhetoric of the Left.

That though is but the tip of the vast garbage pile that the Australian universities have become. The main problem, beyond the failure of maintaining any freedom of thought in the stifling politically correct environment of Leftism is that of intellectual corruption produced by "dollar degrees". In 2011, the Victorian ombudsman in a report expressed concern about corruption in the universities as has, more recently, the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption. *Four Corners*, one of the ABCs better programmes, in mid April 2015, aired a report alleging "corruption, plagiarism, widespread cheating and exploitation". Even the international education lobby have admitted that there are problems.

Much of the material about academic corruption is kept under a lid. Speaking to the few contacts that I have on "the inside" tells a story that to get the International student dollar, universities while strongly enforcing plagiarism and anti-cheating measures on Anglo-Australian students, largely go softer on international students. In fact, many academics violate their own University ethical standards in either supplying help for international students for allowing paid editorial help that goes far beyond "peer review". The problem is that many international students really struggle with the level of English required to write a passing essay, even in the sciences without vast amounts of help, many can't pass. Yet the level of help often needed in editorial work can cross the line into essential re-writings.

Beyond even this, the ICAC and ABC have identified that universities are turning a blind eye to plagiarism and forms of cheating, are applying pressure on lecturers to change grades, or mark soft, or that there is bribery of staff by wealthy foreign students.

All this to my mind constitutes a reduction to absurdity of the present system which treats education as just another market-based good to flog off, rather than a vital part of nation-building. Australia has sown foul winds in its university system since the 1960s and is now reaping a whirlwind. It is time to end the International education racket and return to sanity.

Trust the Government on Vaccines? Never, after the Polio Vaccine Conspiracy!

A blast from the past that we all should remember ("Millions Given Infected Polio Vaccine", *The Sydney Morning Herald*, October 23, 2004). The article begins: "A federal government agency knowingly released polio vaccine contaminated with a monkey virus in the 1960s that has been linked to a range of cancers, including mesothelioma".

The simian virus SV 40 contaminated about 3 million doses between 1956 and 1962, with two batches being released after testing positive for contamination. A

By Mrs. Vera West

release of 700,000 doses of contaminated vaccine in 1962 was done by the Commonwealth Serum Laboratories and "justified" on the grounds that "much vaccine issued in the past was probably similarly contaminated".

Traces of SV 40 had been found in human tumour cells of people who had at that time been given the polio vaccine. Australia has the world's highest incidence of mesothelioma (lung lining cancer) and the contaminated vaccine could be one of the causes of this. It was known at the time that SV 40 was the cause of cancer in humans, but the government went on to vaccinate 6 million Australians, with 9 out of 10 Australian children between the ages of 5 and 14 being given the vaccine. So, why exactly should we trust the government on the vaccine issue or anything else for that matter, as surely they are the fountain of the "ministry of misinformation"

MEXICO SUSPENDS INFANT VACCINES AFTER TWO BABIES DIE AND 29 FALL ILL

Infant vaccinations suspended and investigation begun after six of the 11 babies received injections for tuberculosis, rotavirus and hepatitis B. Mexico's public health system has suspended infant vaccines and begun an investigation after two babies died and 29 became ill in an impoverished community in southern Mexico.

The Mexican institute for social security says six of the 29 babies are in grave condition after receiving vaccinations for tuberculosis, rotavirus and hepatitis B.

The cause of the adverse reactions is not known, the institute said Sunday. It had stopped vaccines across the country on Saturday. Father Marcelo Perez, a Catholic priest, said families of the babies said they became sick within hours. The adverse reactions began on Friday and the babies were taken to a hospital in Simojovel, Chiapas, where 93% of the people live in poverty, according to government statistics.

Ref: http://www.theguardian.com/society/2015/may/11/mexico-suspends-infant-vaccines-after-two-babies-die-and-29-fall-ill

Derailing the Recognition Referendum by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Paul Kelly "Polarising Forces Threaten to Derail Bid for Recognition", The Weekend Australian, May 2-3, 2015, pp.15, 17, gives an overview of the problems faced by the Aboriginal Referendum programme. First, their strengths are having almost complete support by the new class chatterers and the political class. There is universal support in the federal parliament and it is strongly backed by Tony Abbott as one of his symbolic name-making exercises. Supposedly, 84% of people in 20 marginal seats supported the "Yes" vote. Funny, I recall the same thing being said about the Republic vote. And we're did that lead? For the new class though there are problems. A few good men, such as Andrew Bolt, have had the courage to say that the referendum would "divide Australians by our 'race'' so that the referendum is "racist". This has upset the rest of the chattering class to no end and Bolt has been criticised in the Murdoch press. After all, Bolt has said that the proposed referendum is "immoral", "not just fundamentally wrong, but socially dangerous" and that Abbott "must be defeated". Kelly worries about the "xenophobic potential of the populist Right" as well he should. Cracks are now appearing in the Recognition ice and it's our job to make the fissures deeper and to ultimately crack the politically correct pond.

P.S. I note that in the Kelly article the old "Aborigines have been here for 40,000 years" has now been boosted to "60,000 or more years". There will have to be some limit placed on this boostering for the standard "out of Africa" theory of human evolution has humans evolving only 100,000 years ago. Perhaps the elites may go the way that humanity evolved in Australia before too long. How to Defeat the Referendum

Of course spread the word about the evils of the referendum. But let us hope that radicals pursue the line that a major constitutional change to eliminate racism is pushed. This will mean that for the future every law about Aborigines will be contested in the High Court. The Conservatives can see where this will lead and will oppose the referendum, ensuring its defeat. Encourage the Left to either achieve the complete elimination of "racism" or abandon the referendum. The Left should be reminded not to just push for a constitutional ban on "racism" but on all right wing ideas, discrimination on sex, transgender, age, you name it. Go for it! The more the merrier! Don't hold back! Over-extend yourselves why don't you! Watch, then, the Referendum implode.

More here... https://quadrant.org.au/magazine/2013/12/misguided-case-indigenous-recognition-constitution/

Multiculturalism: A Moral duty? By Patrick Morrison

According to the *European Guardian* (May 4, 2015), Josef Schuster, the head of the Jewish Council has said that because Germany has had a "barbaric" history, it has a moral duty to take in Third World immigrants. He said to the German newspaper *Junge Freiheit* that "Germany has brought so much misery to the world. We owe so much to so many countries. We're the last country that can turn back refugees". He said, "When I see 10,000 people cheering Islamophobe and a right-wing populist such as Geert Wilders I feel sick".

Now even if this narrative about

Germany was accepted, it would only justify reparations to those harmed in World War II, and the present countries supplying illegal migrants were not significantly impacted upon. Indeed, some Islamic countries were supporters or neutral to the Nazi regime. Should present day "refugee" and admission be decided on the basis of which of these countries opposed Hitler? Further to this, what benefit will come from creating a sharia Germany or a sharia Europe? If there is concern among Israelis about a nuclear Iran, then surely a sharia France, Germany... with even more nuclear weapons would be an even greater problem. Finally, this is more than an issue of "punishing" Germany with refugees for the evils of the past. Even European countries that fought the Nazis are being given this same "punishment". The world is now a small place and soon there will be nowhere left to retreat to, especially when the nuclear daemons are unleashed. No doubt the elites are pinning their hopes now on outer space, space stations, and a possible colonisation of Mars. Good luck with that one: radiation knows nothing of political correctness!

TURNING ON THE WHEEL OF HEALTH: EXPOSING THE DIET LIES by Chris Knight

G.T. Wrench, "The Wheel of Health: The Sources of Long Life and Health Among the Hunza" was originally published in 1938. The book presents a study of the Hunza people who lived nestled in a region where India meets Afghanistan and China and close to what was then the Soviet Republics. It is a rugged mountainous region and these are rugged people, and even old people traverse great distances, for walking is part and parcel of the culture. Thus they get plenty of exercise. As well their diet was based around fruit and vegetables: "nothing before going out in the early morning to the fields; after two or three hours of work, bread, pulses and vegetables with milk; at midday fresh fruit or dried apricots needed with water;

in the evening these same foods, with meat on rare occasions". (p.18) Wrench's book discusses the lifestyle of these people in detail, a people who may have some European blood.Wrench speculates: "the majority of the Hunza in Hunza are distinguished by their fair skins, and they themselves scoff at being of the same blood as the smaller, dark Nagins". (p.19) The cover photograph of the 2006 edition features a Hunza woman with distinct European features - further evidence of an ancient European interaction with Asia. Recent works confirm the insights about health that the Hunza had long ago. Canadian psychiatrist Norman Doidge author of the 2007 bestseller "The Brain that Changes Itself" has now released a

new book "The Brain's Way of Healing". In it he has a chapter "A Man Walks Off His Parkinson's Symptoms". One man dealt, successfully with Parkinson's symptom simply by walking. A study is quoted that shows that walking "was a key contributor to a very simple program that eradicated the risk of dementia by... 60%". The Hunza must smile at that one. Even humble herbs such as turmeric are being tested as anti-cancer agents. Turmeric has great anti-inflammatory properties and may also aid in the fight against other diseases of our most unhealthy age. It's time for a hearty vegetable curry - but that's as multi-cult as I get!

Ref: http://www.wellbeyond100.com/wheelofhealth.pdf

Terminator: The March of the Killer Robots: The Great AI Lie By James Reed and John Steele

Arnold Schwarzenegger returns to his role of a killer robot in the forthcoming Terminator: Genisys. This time his T-Robot seems to be ageing, explained by saying that the human flesh "shell" ages, while the machine underneath does not. Mike Adams at Natural News.com, May 5, 2015, warns that Google, yes Google our "friendly" search engine, has an AI research wing that has already produced robots capable of leaping onto buildings and throwing bricks, as well as stalking humans in a forest. These systems are presently externally controlled but the aim of AI is to make self-conscious systems smarter than humans by 2029. Scientist Stephen Hawking and entrepreneur Elon Musk have warned that AI will lead first to massive unemployment, and when the selfconscious singularity occurs, to a "robot uprising", as depicted in science fiction. Adams is one of the few people to set out ways of fighting killer robots. One way is the high tech method of using electromagnetic pulse attacks, something ordinary people probably won't have. Better still is hot lead: bullet-proofing robots can be done but they become too heavy to perform their tasks. As well, as in science fiction, humans would have to destroy the robot producing factories. Thanks scientists for letting it come to this. We really didn't have enough existential threats.

Uncle Len's Amazing Downtime by Len the Reader

Each week Uncle Len gets bags of fan mail from hundreds of happy far right wingers and extremist rightist conspiracy folk from across the globe. Indeed, being homeless, suffering from psycho-political psychosis and other afflictions of the "dark night" of the soul, letters help me get through the days. Most of the letters I can barely understand, being functionally illiterate, but I don't let that stand in the way of a good read. My fans often ask me "Uncle Len, have you read any good books lately?" I am pleased to say that I have finally finished Jennifer Worth's "The Midwife Trilogy". All three books: (1) Call of the Midwife; (2) Shadows of the Workhouse and (3) Farewell to the East End. These books deal with Jennifer Worth's experiences as

a midwife working in London's East End in the 1950s.

At the time, that is in the 1950s, midwifes helped to deliver hundreds of babies her month; Worth says that she had in the late 1950s eighty to 100 deliveries a month. But then came the Pill and by 1963 the numbers dropped to 4 or 5 a month. As far as I can remember, she doesn't comment on the racial displacement of white Britons which has no doubt led to the birth rate now increasing as the area of the novel becomes non-white.

There were passages in "The Midwife Trilogy" that made Uncle Len, who knows almost nothing about women, blush. A bright red too. These are the examination descriptions, such as one of a woman, pregnant, with a syphilitic chancre. (p.60) Yes, I had to look it up too. All I could think of was that I am glad that somebody else is doing this and that all I have to do is be an eternal victim and slowly compost, like my race. Overall, I enjoyed reading "The Midwife Trilogy", all 758 Pages. Reading it over a four year period, with a mind as decayed as mine meant that I didn't retain much sense of the story. But I have done the best that I could and sincerely hope that I pass, even if by the skin of my false teeth, this term assignment. Now, let's get back to matters conspiratorial and apocalyptic.

800th MAGNA CARTA ANNIVERSARY COMING UP by Betty Luks

It comes as quite a revelation the amount of material the Australian League of Rights has on its website library and archives on the famous English document the Magna Carta or Great Charter.

Magna Carta, the Great Charter of English liberties, was first issued by King John at Runnymede in June 1215AD. Immediately after John's death in October 1216, it was reissued in the name of his successor, Henry III, with substantial excisions and alterations. Two subsequent reissues in 1217 and 1225 incorporated further revisions. In the form of the third and final revision of 1225, it was confirmed in 1297 by Edward I and a copy of this confirmation was afterwards placed on the first or 'great roll' of English statutes.

* * * * * *

Eric D. Butler in his booklet "The Essential Christian Heritage" explained the background events leading to the rebellion of the Barons against their King:



MAGNA CARTA

"One of the most famous, and important, landmarks in English constitutional history was the signing of the Magna Carta in 1215. When the Caesar of the day, King John, attempted to combine both power and authority in his own person, he violated constitutional principles which had grown out of the climate created by the Christian Church. There were three sovereignties represented at the historic event on the isle of Runnymede: the Crown, the Church, and the Barons, who claimed to speak for the people.

Although the Barons provided the physical sanctions, these in turn were modified by the spiritual sanctions of the Church, which in the person of Archbishop Langton, played a decisive role in the formulating of Magna Carta. Here was the Christian Church insisting, not that complete power should be taken from one man and given to another group of men, but that power should be divided and subject to God's laws. As the famous English historian, Sir Arthur Bryant, writes in his "History of England":

> "It was not Langton's wish to see the Crown overthrown, the law ignored, the realm divided, the Barons petty tyrants. What he wanted was that the King should preserve the law his predecessors created. And it was to the law that the Archbishop appealed, not only of man, but of God. For it was the essence of mediaeval philosophy that God ruled the earth, and that man, and king above all men, must further His ends by doing justice or it was not in Christian eyes justice at all."..."

Magna Carta came to be regarded by Englishmen, and by all who have adopted English laws, as their chief constitutional defence against arbitrary or unjust rule. Its two most famous clauses (§§ 39 & 40) express and gave warranty to some of the Englishman's most deeply held political beliefs.

Translated from the original Latin they read:

• No free man shall be seized or imprisoned, or stripped of his rights or possessions, or outlawed or

exiled, or deprived of his standing in any other way, nor will we proceed with force against him, or send others to do so, except by the lawful judgement of his equals or by the law of the land.

• To no one will we sell, to no one deny or delay right or justice.

In these and other clauses, seventeenth-century lawyers were to find a basis for such fundamental English privileges and rights as trial by jury, *Habeas Corpus*, equality before the law, freedom from arbitrary arrest, and parliamentary control of taxation. For such reasons Magna Carta could be described by William Pitt, earl of Chatham, in 1770 as forming, with the Petition of Right (I629) and the Bill of Rights (1689), the 'Bible of the English Constitution'.

Continuing Eric Butler's comments:

The modern concept of what is called the Rule of Law is far removed from the concept of English Common Law. A realistic examination of this subject requires that first we ask, "Whose law?" Like every other human system, a system of law must, if the Christian view of reality is to be accepted, seek to serve the individual, to ensure that his natural rights are protected, that his sovereignty as a free and responsible individual is ensured, and that the Courts exist to enable him to seek the protection of an independent judiciary. In a Christian society, it is essential that members of the judiciary also accept the Christian basis of English Common Law, and are not afraid to pronounce against governments when they are violating the Common Law. The suggestion that the world can be subordinated to a rigid Rule of Law implies that the relationship of every individual in the world to the Law must be exactly the same. William Blake, the English poet and mystic grasped the necessity of any system of law being related as far as possible to reality when he said that "One law for the lion and the lamb is oppression".

Shakespeare also understood this issue. Justice as seen by Shylock demonstrates the unsuitability of the strict, rigid legal process to anything but a purely static situation. There can be a vast difference between the letter of the law and the spirit of the law, a difference which Christ attempted to demonstrate to the Pharisees of His day..."

Two current issues of contention immediately come to mind:

The right to Trial by Jury which Mr. John Wilson is battling for, more or less fighting a lone battle and another is the threat of Compulsory Vaccination which Tony Abbott is seeking to impose by the carrot and the stick method. "No Jab – No Social Welfare".

To the Editor of THE AGE 12th May 2015

Gary Sayer (12/5) does not realise that since its inception the UN has been a tool of that 'New World Order' he rightly opposes. From the exposure of its first secretary (Alger Hiss) as a communist with powerful friends, through its disastrous exploit in the Belgian Congo to destroy the well-governed province of Katanga, past its betrayal of Taiwan to admit Red China into membership and past its role in turning peaceful and prosperous Rhodesia into Mugabe's Zimbabwe, the UN has proved to be an unworthy organisation. And Tim Mulligan's clever debating trick (12/5) goes up in smoke as soon as one realises that members of the UN's general assembly generally represent governments (that is, politicians and dictators) and not peoples.

-- Nigel Jackson, Belgrave

To the Editor of THE SHEPPARTON NEWS 9th May 2015

I write to applaud Bill McCarthy and The News for printing the letter 'Council Issues' (News, May 8^{th,} 2015) highlighting a proposal by former Victorian Deputy Premier and National's leader, Pat McNamara, to "change (Victorian) local government into 13 'super councils' which would drastically cut the number of councillors and probably replace them with administrators".

This proposal is another step reducing 'representative democracy' and centralising government so that the individual is reduced to a helpless number in a bureaucratic State. What is the unseen purpose of a move in this direction?

Is it development of regions to bypass the States, which are part of the Australian Constitution?

Veritas Book

ABN 83008 931619 VeritasBooks.com.au P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA. Phone: (08) 7123 7131 Email: <u>admin@veritasbooks.com.au</u>

BOOKS, BOOKS AND OTHER MEDIA!

Veritas has a wide range of books not found in the usual run of book outlets.

The subject matter ranges through Censored History, Education, Environment, Finance Economics, Health, Politics, Race & Culture, Social Credit and various Multi– Media presentations.

Subscriptions to Australian League of Rights publications.

Payment can be made online for ease of shopping.

The infrastructure is already there — stealthy put in place by successive State governments and seemingly directed by the Department Local Government. Is this also the reason for a call to recognise local government in the Australian Constitution? Some people could be looking ahead!

Australians are being moved down the socialist road to the bureaucratic slave state and there is only one way to reverse our direction — a sane financial policy instead of the escalating debt machine of the moment.

This will only alter when voters demand a change and this is reflected in the ballot box... voting for more of the same is not a solution.

-- Louis Cook, Numurkah

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: <u>www.alor.org/</u> THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable

to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to 'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings, or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania: Heritage Bookshop,

Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone 03 9600 0677

South Australia

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States: To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <u>veritasbooks.com.au/</u> You will find the audio / video library here... http://alor.org/avLibrary/index.php Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook, Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.